3

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 849 /2006

PETITIONER : Mahesh Sinha, Aged about 47 years,
S/o. Kawali Ram Sinha, R/o. Sub-Inspector,
P.S. Bagbahara, District Mahasamund (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary (Home), Police Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2] Inspector General of Police,
Raipur Range, District Raipur (CG)

3] Superintendent of Police,
Mahasamund, Distt Mahasamund (CG)

4] Director General of Police,
Police Head Quarter, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)

C.R. No. 823/06
Presented by Shri V.P. Gupta Kadhan
dated 16/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY
16/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 849/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9-3-2006 Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State, on advance copy. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred vide order dated 8-2-2006 Annexure P-10 from District Mahasamund to District Sarguja. He further submits that the transfer has been made against the policy. He also submits that the petitioner submitted the representation on 9/2/2006 to the Director General of Police, which was received on 13/2/2006, but the same has not been decided so far. State counsel on the other hand submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within two weeks from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. Learned counsel or the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and he has stated it in application for interim relief. He prays that the petitioner may be allowed to continue. Having thus considered, it is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No. 662/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

H/-

[पीछे देखिये

10.3.06